

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of Medical Records as a Screening Tool for Deprescription of Benzodiazepines in Older Adults Cared for by SUS

Luciana Soares Rodrigues¹; Marlon Silva Tinoco²; Eriks Oliveira Silva³
Thays Santos Mendonça⁴; Luanna Gabriella Resende da Silva⁵
Marcio Galvão Oliveira⁶; André Oliveira Baldoni⁷

Highlights

(1) The patient records available in Primary Care do not provide sufficient information to identify older adults eligible for benzodiazepine deprescription. (2) The medical records of older adults available in Primary Care do not contain information on the reason for prescribing benzodiazepines. (3) Clonazepam, alprazolam, and diazepam were the most commonly prescribed benzodiazepines for older adults and most patients have been using these medications for more than six years.

ABSTRACT

Benzodiazepines (BZDs) are medications that are considered inappropriate for older adults, as they present more risks than benefits. In this sense, it is worth analyzing whether medical records can be used to track down these patients in order to de-prescribe them. The aim of this study was to analyze the possibility of screening patients eligible for BZD deprescription using secondary data from older adults' medical records. A descriptive study was carried out using physical and electronic medical records of older adults treated in Primary Health Care (PHC) in a small municipality in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, from July to November 2020. The following parameters were used to identify potential patients who were candidates for deprescription: a) records of isolated insomnia or insomnia caused by a comorbidity already being treated; b) patients aged ≥ 60 years taking BZDs, regardless of their duration. Of the 332 medical records analyzed, 76.8% were female and 79.5% were aged 65 or over. Approximately 31% of the patients had had between one and three automatic prescription renewals (APRs) in the last 12 months and when considering the date of the first prescription, 72.6% of the older adults had been using the medication for more than six years. It was possible to identify that only 5.1% had one of the eligibility criteria for de-prescribing, since 60.8% of the medical records did not contain detailed information on the indication for BZDs. It is therefore impossible to use medical records as a screening strategy to identify potential candidates for BZD deprescription.

Keywords: benzodiazepines; list of potentially inappropriate medications; medical records; medication use.

¹ Centro Universitário de Formiga (Unifor). Formiga/MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2965-2593>

² Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei. Divinópolis-MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5658-4434>

³ Universidade Federal de Uberlândia. Uberlândia/MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6883-5715>

⁴ Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei. Divinópolis/MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7005-8780>

⁵ Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei. Divinópolis/MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1879-5166>

⁶ Universidade Federal da Bahia. Vitória da Conquista/BA, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5281-7889>

⁷ Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei. Divinópolis/MG, Brasil. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6379-0415>

INTRODUCTION

Benzodiazepines (BZDs) are medications that act on the central nervous system (CNS) and have various clinical indications, such as the treatment of epilepsy, severe generalized anxiety, ethanol withdrawal, Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep disorders and periprocedural anesthesia¹. However, despite their benefits in such clinical conditions, these medications can also have negative effects, especially with chronic use, such as reduced cognitive capacity, as well as tolerance, dependence, and withdrawal in users².

Although the harmful effects of inappropriate BZD¹ use have already been explained in the literature, epidemiological data shows an increase in the frequency of use among older adults, including those aged 75 and over²⁰. Inappropriate use can occur in various ways, such as inappropriate prescriptions, acquisition and use without medical supervision and lack of information about the undesirable effects caused by BZDs².

According to the Beers criteria, BZDs are considered potentially inappropriate medications for the older adults (PIMs) and can increase the risk of cognitive impairment, delirium, falls, fractures, and car accidents³. However, it is clear that the use of these medications in this group of patients is increasingly common in clinical practice, and they are often used for long periods. A systematic review study showed that the prescription of PIMs for older adults was estimated at 65.0% (28.7-95.3%), with BZDs being among the most frequently prescribed (19.0%)⁴.

Although the continuous use of BZDs is not recommended for long periods, due to their low efficiency³, a Brazilian study showed that 91.7% of older adults using BZDs use them indefinitely, generating tolerance and dependence, thus making de-prescribing difficult⁵.

In this context, the importance of deprescribing BZDs is clear. The process of deprescribing consists of reducing the dose or interrupting the use of medication through planning and professional supervision, in order to ensure greater safety in patients' pharmacotherapy⁶. To select patients for BZD deprescription, certain criteria should be applied: continuous use (four weeks or more); concomitant use with other CNS depressants (such as opioid medications, antipsychotics and alcohol); patients at high risk of falls; concomitant use of anticholinergics; patients with cognitive impairment; patients with kidney or liver disease; individuals with lung disease; sleep apnea and for the treatment of isolated insomnia⁷⁻⁸.

The studies show that the process of deprescribing has been effective in reducing the potential risks related to the irrational use of medications, as well as promoting clinical benefits and improving patients' quality of life. Health education activities on the negative effects of medications by professionals, as well as working together with the patient, increase the chances of successful deprescription^{6,8-9}.

In view of this, it is essential to look for viable methodologies, from a clinical, operational, and economic point of view, which allow us to identify and characterize patients who are eligible for BZD deprescription. One example could be chart review, since when filled out completely, charts contribute to guiding health decision-making¹⁰. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the possibility of screening potential Primary Health Care (PHC) patients eligible for BZD deprescription using secondary data from older adults' medical records.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a descriptive study which used physical and electronic medical records of older adults treated at the PHC in a small Brazilian municipality in the state of Minas Gerais. The municipality has

six PHC units, four in the urban area and two in the districts. The four units in the urban area were considered for this study. The study was carried out between July and November 2020. Older adults were those aged 60 or over¹¹.

A physical instrument was drawn up for data collection, which was divided into four blocks. In block I, information was collected on the identification of the older adult, socioeconomic and demographic variables (age, date of birth, gender, marital status, education), information on the frequency of medical consultations and automatic prescription renewals (APR) in the last 12 months. Block II included information on health conditions (presence of comorbidities). Block III identified information on medication use and finally, block IV collected information on BZD use (name, clinical indication and time of use).

In relation to the frequency of consultations, the following were considered: a) medical consultation: those with a record of the consultation in the medical records, and; b) APR: when it was described as “prescription renewal” or when there was only a record of the medications in use with no record of interventions, with APR being considered to be that consultation in which no consultation took place, i.e. without a medical assessment. In this case, the physician only repeated the previous prescription and the community health worker (CHW), or another member of the team delivered the prescription to the patient.

In relation to the pharmacotherapeutic profile, the use of medications was categorized into: use of polypharmacy (five or more medications)¹² and presence of PIM³, which were identified after data collection according to the list of medications used by the patient. In addition, the duration of BZD use was analyzed, considering the first prescription described in the medical record, as well as its possible clinical indication.

Potential participants were identified through the records of the multidisciplinary team at the health units (HU). Firstly, contact was made with the health center nurse, who asked the CHWs to provide the names of the older adults over the age of 60 who used BZDs. Subsequently, on previously scheduled days, data collection began by analyzing the medical records individually, following the list provided by the CHWs. In order to identify potential patients who are candidates for deprescription, the theoretical framework used was the BZD deprescription algorithm⁶, which considers the following parameters for a patient to be a candidate for deprescription: a) insomnia on its own or insomnia caused by a comorbidity that is already being treated; b) patients aged ≥ 65 years, using BZD regardless of duration. However, in this study, older adults ≥ 60 years¹¹ were considered, because in Brazil this is the age group in which older patients fall.

The following parameters/conditions were considered exclusionary for deprescription: a) other sleep disorders (e.g. restless legs); b) anxiety, depression, unmanaged physical or mental condition that may be causing or aggravating insomnia; c) BZD effective specifically for anxiety; d) alcohol abstinence. The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Federal University of São João del-Rei, Midwest campus (CEP-CCO) under opinion 4.049.528 and CAAE: 30688320.0.0000.5545.

RESULTS

A total of 332 medical records were analyzed in the municipality’s four health units, of which 255 (76.8%) were of older adults. It was observed that 79.5% of the older adults were aged 65 or over and most of them had little education (Table 1).

Table 1 – Socioeconomic profile of older adult BZD users seen in PHC units, 2020 (n = 332)

Variables	Total n = 332 (%)
Age	
60 to 64	68 (20.5)
≥ 65	264 (79.5)
Education*	
Literate Class	13 (3.9)
1st to 4 th	134 (40.4)
5th to 8 th	74 (22.3)
High school	39 (11.8)
Higher Education	13 (3.9)
Not informed	30 (9.0)
None	29 (8.7)
Marital Status	
Married	7 (2.1)
Widowed	9 (2.7)
Divorced/separated	3 (0.9)
Not informed **	313 (94.3)

*Education is according to the patient's record in the electronic medical record. Note: ** No data on marital status.

Source: Prepared by the authors.

The survey showed that 226 (68.1%) older adults had seen a physician in the last year. Among the study participants, 102 (30.7%) had had between one and three APRs in the last 12 months (Table 2):

Table 2 – Period of medical consultations and frequency of APR of older adult BZD users seen in PHC units, 2019-2020 (n = 332)

Variables	Total n = 332 (%)
Has there been a doctor's appointment in the last 12 months?	
No	21 (6.3%)
Yes	226 (68.1%)
Not informed	85 (25.6%)
Amount of APR* in the last 12 months	
1-3 renewals	102 (30.7)
4-6 renewals	88 (26.5)
7-9 renewals	55 (16.6)
10-12 renewals	44 (13.3)
Not informed	43 (12.9)

*APR: Automatic Prescription Renewals

Source: Prepared by the authors.

When analyzing comorbidities (table 3), it can be seen that the majority of older adults had some kind of chronic comorbidity, with hypertension standing out (n= 214; 64.5%), followed by Diabetes Mellitus (n= 83; 25%) and depression (n= 57; 17.2%). Among the most commonly used classes of medication were platelet antiaggregants, antihypertensives, statins and proton pump inhibitors.

Table 3 – Comorbidities of older adults using BZDs and treated at PHC units, 2020 (n = 332)

Health condition	Total n = 332 (%)
Hypertension	214 (64.5)
Diabetes Mellitus	83 (25.0)
Depression	57 (17.2)
Dyslipidemia	54 (16.3)
Thyroid disorders	41 (12.4)
Osteoporosis	21 (6.3)
Others	57 (17.2)

Source: Prepared by the authors.

It was found that 177 older adults (53.3%) used polypharmacy, with 155 (46.7%) using between five and nine medications and 22 (6.6%) using more than 10 medications. Among the most prescribed BZDs was clonazepam (42.4%), of which 107 (32.2%) used tablets and 34 (10.2%) oral solutions, followed by alprazolam (29.5%), diazepam (18.7%) and bromazepam (8.7%). It was observed that 16 (4.8%) older adults used two BZDs concomitantly. The majority (60.8%) did not have information on the reason for prescribing BZDs and when considering the date of the first prescription of the BZD to the older adult, described in the medical records, it was identified that the majority of them (72.6%) had been using these medications for a period of more than six years, with 16.3% having been using them for more than 16 years. When analyzing the general classification of medications, following the criteria for prescribing PIMs, the study showed that 167 (50.3%) older adults used between two and five PIMs (Table 4).

Table 4 – Description of BZD use and PIM use by older adults treated at PHC units, 2020 (n = 332)

Variables	Total n = 332 (%)
<i>Benzodiazepines</i>	
Clonazepam tablet	107 (32.2)
Alprazolam	98 (29.5)
Diazepam	62 (18.7)
Clonazepam oral drops	34 (10.2)
Bromazepam	29 (8.7)
Lorazepam	7 (2.1)
Nitrazepam	5 (1.5)
Flunitrazepam	4 (1.2)
Flurazepam	1 (0.3)
Cloazolam	1 (0.3)
<i>Clinical indications for benzodiazepines</i>	
Anxiety/GAD*/Panic disorder	50 (15.1)
Nonspecific anxiety symptoms	39 (11.8)
Depression	25 (7.5)
Isolated insomnia	17 (5.1)
Sleep disorders	11 (3.3)
Miorrelaxant	3 (0.9)
Grief	2 (0.6)
Mental confusion	1 (0.3)
Not informed	202 (60.8)

Time of benzodiazepine use in years

up to 5 years	91 (27.4)
6-10 years	109 (32.8)
11-15 years	78 (23.5)
≥ 16 years	54 (16.3)

Total Potentially Inappropriate Medications for Older Adults

1	165 (49.7%)
2	112 (33.7%)
3	45 (13.6%)
4	9 (2.7%)
5	1 (0.3%)

*GAD – Generalized Anxiety Disorder.

Source: prepared by the authors

In relation to eligibility for deprescribing, only 17 (5.1%) patients were eligible because they were over 60 and used BZDs for insomnia alone. However, 202 (60.8%) of the medical records lacked detailed information on the indication for BZDs.

DISCUSSION

The data shows that the use of patient records is not a viable strategy for screening and identifying potential older adults for BZD deprescription. This is due to the poor quality or even absence of important information, such as the reason(s) for prescribing the BZD. The poor quality of medical records is not exclusive to the findings of this study¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

Considering that the medical record should be useful for the multidisciplinary team and the patient, there is a need to improve the records of the activities carried out, in order to enable the health team to provide complete assistance to the patient¹⁶.

In this context, one of the alternatives is the use of technological tools to the detriment of the physical paper medical record (PFP), which is restricted to the care unit and does not always present a chronological and complete order of events, making medical decisions difficult. The use of electronic patient records (EPR) in PHC allows for better management of care and longitudinal monitoring of the patient, speeding up decision-making¹⁷.

Another necessary intervention is to sensitize and train professionals on how to fill out the document. Without this, failures to enter data on patient follow-up are perpetuated regardless of which medical record is used¹⁸.

Other studies have also shown that the poor quality of medical records has a negative impact on the effectiveness of the actions carried out by PHC in processing and understanding information for health management and decision-making, which leads users to seek other levels of health care for diagnosis and therapeutic management¹⁴⁻¹⁵. In this context, in order to guarantee complete care, public educational policies with continuing training for multidisciplinary teams and greater investment in health promotion and disease prevention, with the correct recording of the activities carried out, will enable better health care for older adults enrolled in the units¹⁵.

When caring for older adults, considering the high prevalence of comorbidities, as well as the complexity of pharmacotherapy, it is essential that professionals seek information on the use of medication, especially in relation to the use of PIMs. As in this study, other studies have found that the majority of older adults are subject to polypharmacy in addition to the use of PIMs¹⁹⁻²⁰. In this

context, BZDs are among the medications most used by older adults in Brazil²¹. This consumption has contributed to an increase in the industrial production of these medications in several countries around the world, as global BZD manufacturing increased by 24% between 2017 and 2019, driven mainly by Italy, India, China and Brazil²².

The use of multiple drugs, even if it is related to appropriate prescribing, requires direct care¹², and adequate medical monitoring is essential, not just the APR²³. It is a fact that access to mental health services is often limited, thus requiring more effective care from a multidisciplinary team, including a general practitioner (or family and community doctor), nurse and clinical pharmacist to carry out pharmacotherapeutic monitoring²⁴⁻²⁵. It is known that access to medication alone does not guarantee successful pharmacotherapy and the inappropriate use of medication can bring various risks and serious clinical complications²⁶.

APR, without regular medical consultations, is a very common practice in PHC and can occur for a variety of reasons, from the motivational factors of health professionals to structural and administrative factors. Some authors have identified that it occurs due to the high demand that the public system absorbs: physicians work to meet quantitative targets, often in large communities with various socio-economic and clinical problems, thus generating a greater number of consultations than the workforce offered, leading to a struggle for medical care²⁷⁻²⁸. Even against this backdrop, APR is not recommended by the Brazilian Regional Council of Medicine of Minas Gerais (CRM-MG), which goes against good professional practice and ethical duties²⁹⁻³⁰.

In this sense, it is imperative to make it clear that the patient must be monitored clinically, even if their condition is stable. In addition, the APR prevents the prescriber and the multidisciplinary health team from identifying which patients are - or are not - suitable for the process of deprescribing, especially those medications that have no indication for continuous use or those that no longer make sense to use at that moment in the patient's life, as is the case with BZDs^{6,29}, thus avoiding chronic, unnecessary and irrational exposure to medications.

It is also worth noting that the APR and the lack of periodic reassessment contribute to the long duration of BZD use³¹, as well as the patient's lack of knowledge about their pharmacotherapy and fear of the signs and symptoms of withdrawal⁸.

The use of PIMs and polypharmacy should be assessed and, when appropriate, deprescription should be considered, guided by the multidisciplinary team through systematized protocols³². The withdrawal process should be gradual, aiming to reduce side effects and improve the patient's quality of life³³.

In addition, deprescribing can be accompanied by non-pharmacological treatment as a therapeutic alternative, such as sleep hygiene³⁴, in order to reduce health problems such as mortality and increased public spending. In addition, they are low-cost interventions to implement and execute within PHC²⁶, and deprescribing is strongly recommended by various lists on PIM^{1,3} and systematic reviews for the older adults' population aged 65 and over⁶.

Another important point is that the study's socio-economic data corroborates the findings of other Brazilian studies, in which the majority of BZD users are older women with low levels of education^{20,35}. There is therefore greater use of BZDs among women, which may be associated with women's greater concern with health care, which makes them seek health services more often³⁶.

The study's limitations include the fact that it was carried out in a small municipality, which makes it impossible to generalize the results. In addition, the data was collected in the context of a Covid-19 pandemic, which may have contributed somewhat to the poor quality of the medical records found in the study. On the other hand, the findings make clear the need for progress in the process of de-prescribing BZDs in older adults, who continue to be prescribed them for isolated insomnia despite

the evidence and recommendations in the literature, and also reinforce the importance of quality records in medical records for managing care and implementing interventions in health services, especially those related to reducing iatrogenies, such as the use of PIMs by older adults.

CONCLUSION

In the context and scenario analyzed, it was not feasible to use medical records as a screening strategy to identify potential older adults for de-prescribing benzodiazepines. It can be seen that important information is sometimes not present in the medical records of older adults, who in some situations seek the service only for “APR” and do not undergo regular clinical follow-up.

It is therefore necessary to analyze the factors that make it difficult to keep complete records in medical charts, since these are essential for dialogue between health professionals, enabling continuity of care for patients using BZDs, as well as making it possible to list older adults who are eligible for deprescription.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Federal University of São João Del-Rei (UFSJ).

FINANCING

This study was funded by: Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (Fapemig), APQ-01107-21 and APQ-01189-22; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) – funding code 304131/2022-9 and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (Capes) – funding code 001.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Oliveira MG, Amorim WW, Oliveira CRB, Coqueiro HL, Gusmão LC, Passos LC. Consenso brasileiro de medicamentos potencialmente inapropriados para idosos. *Geriatr gerontol aging*, 2016;10(4):168-181. DOI: 10.5327/Z2447-211520161600054
- ² Terto KYS, Fonseca Filho T, da Cunha Naka YS, Oliveira JL, Franco DCZ. Risks related to the increasing and indiscriminate use of benzodiazepines for sedative purposes: a literature review. *Archives of health*. 2021;2(4):1.325-1.328.
- ³ By the 2019 American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria® Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2019 Updated AGS Beers Criteria® for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. *J am geriatr soc*. 2019 Apr;67(4):674-694. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.15767
- ⁴ Praxedes MFDS, Pereira GCDS, Lima CFDM., Santos DBD. Berhends JS. Prescribing potentially inappropriate medications for the elderly according to Beers Criteria: systematic review. *Cienc. saúde colet*. 2021;26:3.209-3.219. DOI <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232021268.05672020>
- ⁵ Da Silva Freitas E, Diniz JA, Alves NR, Leite PIP, Neto MLR, de Carvalho PMM. Benzodiazepines use in elderly patients attended at a Public Pharmacy in Pernambuco Brazil. *Amadeus intl multidiscip. J*. 2019;4(7):203-216. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14295/aimj.v4i7.91>
- ⁶ Pottie K, Thompson W, Davies S, Grenier J, Sadowski CA, Welch V, et al. Deprescribing benzodiazepine receptor agonists: evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *CFP*. 2018;64(5):339-351.
- ⁷ Tenni P, Dunbabin D. A guide to deprescribing: Aspirin. *Primary Health Tasmania*. 2019;37.
- ⁸ Da Silva LGR, da Silva Pinto AW, Queiroz WE, Coelho CC, Blatt CR, Oliveira MG, et al. Deprescribing clonazepam in primary care older patients: a feasibility study. *Int J clin pharm*. 2022;44(2):489-498. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-021-01371-7>

- ⁹ Olry de LLA, Marcos J, Marquina M A, González VMDLA, Matas HA, Bermúdez TC. Evidence for deprescription in primary care through an umbrella review. *BMC family practice*. 2020;21(1):1-12. DOI: 10.1186/s12875-020-01166-1
- ¹⁰ Sweetman A, Lovato N, Micic G, Scott H, Bickley K, Haycock J, et al. Do symptoms of depression, anxiety or stress impair the effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia? A chart-review of 455 patients with chronic insomnia. *Sleep medicine*. 2020;75:401-410. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.08.023>
- ¹¹ Brasil, Ministério da Saúde. Lei nº 14.423, de 22 de julho de 2022. Dispõe sobre o Estatuto da Pessoa Idosa e dá outras providências. Brasília, DF: Diário Oficial da União; 2022. [cited 5 Sept. 2023]. Available at: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2019-2022/2022/Lei/L14423.htm#art1
- ¹² Soares, GG, Prada, IAG, Caetano, MD, Nicolussi, AC. Drug profile and frequency of polypharmacy in elderly people in a Basic Health Unit. *Rev enferm Uerj*. 2023;31:e71311. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12957/reuerj.2023.71311>
- ¹³ SBRAFH. Grupo brasileiro de desprescrição. Algoritmo de desprescrição de benzodiazepínicos & hipnóticos não benzodiazepínicos [Internet]. SBRAFH; 2019. [cited 12 July 2021]. Available at: <http://sbrafh.tecnologia.ws/desprescricao/>
- ¹⁴ Rocha VCLG, Pereira DS, Pereira MJ, da Silva SLA. Validade e concordância do registro em prontuário do uso de serviços da Rede de Atenção à Saúde por idosos. *Cien saúde colet*. 2020;25(6):2.103-2.012. DOI: 10.1590/1413-81232020256.19682018
- ¹⁵ Macleod S, Musich S, Gulyas S, Cheng Y, Tkatch R, Cempellin D, Bhattarai GR, Hawkins K, Yeh CS. The impact of inadequate health literacy on patient satisfaction, healthcare utilization, and expenditures among older adults. *Geriatric nursing*. 2017;38(4):334-341. DOI: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2016.12.003
- ¹⁶ Garritano CR de O, Junqueira FH, Lorosa EFS, Fujimoto MS, Martins WHA. Avaliação do prontuário médico de um Hospital Universitário. *Rev bras educ med*. 2020;44(1):e009. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-5271v44.1-20190123>
- ¹⁷ Toledo PP da S, dos Santos EM, Cardoso GCP, de Abreu DMF, de Oliveira AB. Electronic health record: a systematic review of the implementation under the National Humanization Policy guidelines. *Cien saúde colet*. 2021;26(6):2.131-2.140. DOI: 10.1590/1413-81232021266.39872020
- ¹⁸ Rodrigues, RM, Oliveira Lima, A, Santos, RC dos, Ferreira, IS & Rodrigues Sousa, AM. Análise da implantação do Prontuário Eletrônico do Cidadão (PEC) do e-SUS AB no município de Horizonte – CE. *Revista controle – doutrina e artigos*. 2023;21(2),231-274. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32586/rcda.v21i2.834>
- ¹⁹ Isidoro GSP, Pinto MAV, Melo NCA, de Souza PAM, da Silva LGR, Sales TLS, et al. Potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults: prevalence and physician knowledge. *Geriatr gerontol aging*. 2021;15:e0210011. DOI: 10.5327/Z2447-212320212000112
- ²⁰ Oliveira ALML, do Nascimento MMG, Costa EC, Firmo JOA, Costa MFL, Filho AI de Loyola. Increased use of benzodiazepines among older adults: Bambuí project. *Rev bras de epidemiol*. 2020;23:e200029. DOI: 10.1590/1980-549720200029
- ²¹ Freire MBO, da Silva BGC, Bertoldi AD, Fontanella AT, Mengue SS, Ramos LR, et al. Benzodiazepines utilization in Brazilian older adults: a population-based study. *Rev saúde pública*. 2022;56:10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11606/s1518-8787.2022056003740>
- ²² Nations U. International Narcotics Control Board. Repor on the International Narcotics Control for 2020 [Internet]. Vienna: INCB; 2021. [cited 7 Nov. 2022]. Available at: https://www.incb.org/documents/Publications/AnnualReports/AR2020/Annual_Report/E_INCB_2020_1_eng.pdf
- ²³ Fegadolli C, Varela NMD, Carlini EL de A. Uso e abuso de benzodiazepínicos na atenção primária à saúde: práticas profissionais no Brasil e em Cuba. *Cad saúde pública*. 2019; 35(6):e00097718. DOI: 10.1590/0102-311X00097718
- ²⁴ Colaço RF, Campos RTO. Gestão compartilhada do tratamento com psicofármacos: inquérito com usuários de CAPS de quatro grandes cidades brasileiras. *Cien saúde colet*. 2022;27(7):2.553-2.562. DOI: 10.1590/1413-81232022277.17162021
- ²⁵ Pinto IVL, Castro MS, Reis AMM. Descrição da atuação do farmacêutico em equipe multiprofissional com ênfase no cuidado ao idoso hospitalizado. *RBGG*. 2013 Dec.;16(4). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1809-98232013000400009>
- ²⁶ Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Contribuições para a promoção do Uso Racional de Medicamentos [internet], Brasília, DF; 2021. [cited 13 Dec 2022]. Available at: <https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/assuntos/saude-de-a-a-z/u/arquivos/.contribuicoes-para-o-uso-racional-de-medicamentos.pdf>
- ²⁷ Gomes LHA, Almeida LAF, Alves da SCCT, Rosa AAM, Filho-rosa AAM. Fatores envolvidos na prática de renovação automática de receitas médicas no contexto da atenção básica. *Saúde coletiva*, Barueri. 2021;11(62). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36489/saudecoletiva.2021v11i62p5202-5211>

- ²⁸ Paim J. Atenção primária à saúde: uma receita para todas as estações? Saúde em debate [Internet]. 2012. [cited 19 Nov. 2020]. Available at: <https://www.scielosp.org/pdf/sdeb/2012.v36n94/343-347/pt>
- ²⁹ Conselho Federal de Medicina (CFM). Prescrição de Medicamentos de Uso Contínuo. Parecer nº 2.643/2018 fevereiro de 2018. [Internet] 2018 [cited 13 Dec. 2022]. Available at: https://sistemas.cfm.org.br/nor-mas/arquivos/pareceres/PR/2018/2643_2018.pdf
- ³⁰ Conselho Federal de Medicina (CFM). Uso de benzodiazepínicos e renovação de receitas. Parecer nº 126/2017. [Internet]. 2017. [cited 13 Dec. 2022]. Available at: https://sistemas.cfm.org.br/nor-mas/arquivos/pareceres/MG/2017/126_2017.pdf
- ³¹ Alves de Sousa Rocha D, de Araújo Batista DC. O processo de desprescrição de benzodiazepínicos com ênfase na sua utilização e dependência. RMS [Internet]. 31 de janeiro de 2023 [cited 30 Aug. 2023];2(1):S108-S116. Available at: <https://www.revistamultisertao.com.br/index.php/revista/article/view/510>
- ³² Baldoni AO, Zadra PF, Vilar LG, Junior MAA, Pimentel ACL, Nalon JVL, et al. Elaboração e validação do protocolo de desprescrição do clonazepam em idosos. RBMFC. 2020;15(42):2.105. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc15\(42\)2105](https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc15(42)2105)
- ³³ Gabauer J. CE: Mitigating the dangers of polypharmacy in community-dwelling older adults. Am. J. nurs. 2020; 120(2):36-42. DOI: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000654312.14385.3d
- ³⁴ Bichara IM, Vilar L, Zadra P, Nalon JVL, Junior MAA, Enes TB, et al. Educação e medidas não farmacológicas que promovam a qualidade do sono em idosos. Rev. bras ext univ. 2019;10(1):35-42. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24317/2.358-0.399>
- ³⁵ Passos Neto CD, Leite ES, Martins AKL, Oliveira FB, Castro AP, Pimenta, CJL. Consumo de benzodiazepínicos por idosos usuários da Estratégia Saúde da Família. RPCFO. 2020;12:883-889. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/0.9789/2175-5361.rpcfo.v12.7900>
- ³⁶ Malta DC, Bernal RT, Lima MG, Araújo SS, Silva MM, Freitas MI, et al. Noncommunicable diseases and the use of health services: analysis of the National Health Survey in Brazil. Rev saúde pública. 2017;51(1):4s. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2017051000090>

Submitted: May 17, 2023

Accepted: December 6, 2023

Published: May 6, 2024

Author contributions:

Luciana Soares Rodrigues: Data curation, research, methodology, data presentation design, writing of the original manuscript, writing-review, and editing.

Marlon Silva Tinoco: Data curation, formal analysis, methodology, data presentation design, writing of the original manuscript, writing-review, and editing.

Eriks Oliveira Silva: Data curation, Formal Analysis, data presentation design, writing of the original manuscript, writing-review, and editing.

Thays Santos Mendonça: Design of the data presentation, writing of the original manuscript, writing-review, and editing.

Luanna Gabriella Resende da Silva: Data presentation design, writing-review, and editing.

Marcio Galvão Oliveira: Data presentation design, writing-review, and editing.

André Oliveira Baldoni: Conceptualization, Data curation, Obtaining funding, Research, Methodology, Project management, Supervision, Data presentation design, Writing the original manuscript, Writing-reviewing, and editing.

All authors have approved the final version of the text.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Corresponding author

Luciana Soares Rodrigues
Centro Universitário de Formiga (Unifor)
Formiga/MG, Brazil
lusoarero@yahoo.com.br

Editor: Christiane de Fátima Colet (Ph.D)

Editor-in-chief: Adriane Cristina Bernat Kolankiewicz (Ph.D)

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons license.

